Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

East Otter Tail FY06 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

See attached minutes from LWG meeting.

- 2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority: None
- 3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

	Resource	
Factor	Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	1	1
A2 Gully Control	3	1
B1 Water Resource	1	1
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	2	1
C Habitat Improvement	2	1
D Air Quality	3	1
E Impaired Water	2	1
F Distance	3	1
G Grazing System	1	1
H Forest Mgt.	3	1
Additional Local*	1	1

^{*} If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

Practices combined will receive additional points as follows:

B1 applications which include 329 and 590 practices on same acres receive 3 local points

B1 applications which include 449 and 590 practices on the same acres receive 3 local points.

- 4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district. The state wide ranking system will be used with the two additional local ranking point changes described above.
- 5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 03 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Chair, Local Work Group	Date